- 1			
1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP		
2	David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) Albert P. Bedecarré (Bar No. 148178) Diane M. Doolittle (Bar No. 142046) Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)		
3			
4	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111		
5	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700		
	Email: davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com		
6	albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com		
7	carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Siliconix incorporated		
9	omeomic meorporates		
10	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS AND COL		
11	SIGNATURE PAGE PURSUANT TO CIVIL L	OCAL RULE 3-4.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	SILICONIX INCORPORATED, a Delaware	CASE NO. C 05-01507 WHA	
16	corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
17	Plaintiff,	ORDER EXTENDING SILICONIX'S TIME TO AMEND ITS PATENT LOCAL RULE	
18	V.	3-1 DISCLOSURE IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S FEBRUARY 15, 2006 ORDER	
19	DENSO CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation, and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), INC., a	RE DISPUTE OVER DISCLOSURE UNDER LOCAL PATENT RULES	
20	Michigan corporation,	Judge: The Honorable William H. Alsup	
	Defendants.	Judge. The Honorable william H. Alsup	
21			
22	AND CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS NOS. C 04-00344 WHA AND		
23	C 05-03617 WHA.		
24		•	
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
2	WHEREAS:		
3	A. The Court's February 15, 2006 Order Re Dispute Over Disclosure Under Local		
4	Patent Rules (the "February 15 Order") provides that Plaintiff Siliconix incorporated ("Siliconix"		
5	shall have seven calendar days within which to amend Siliconix's Patent Local Rule 3-1		
6	Disclosure in response to the February 15 Order.		
7	B. Siliconix is reviewing this Court's prior claim construction ruling and prior		
8	positions taken by defendants in other actions involving U.S. Patent No. 5,034,785 in an attempt		
9	to determine in good faith whether and to what extent Siliconix should amend its Patent Local		
10	Rule 3-1 Disclosure in response to the Court's February 15 Order.		
11	C. Given the large amount of information to be reviewed and analyzed, Siliconix has		
12	requested that defendants in this action and in the consolidated actions, Defendants DENSO		
13	CORPORATION, TD SCAN (U.S.A.), Inc., Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd., Panasonic		
14	Corporation of North America, and Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc. (collectively		
15	"Defendants"), agree to a two week extension of time for Siliconix to amend its Patent Local Rule		
16	3-1 Disclosure and Defendants have agreed to such an extension.		
17	D. The requested extension will not affect any other event or deadline already fixed by		
18	Court order.		
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Siliconix and Defendants,		
20	through their respective counsel of record, that Siliconix shall have a two week extension of time,		
21	through and including March 8, 2006, to amend Siliconix's Patent Local Rule 3-1 Disclosure in		
22	response to the Court's February 15 Order.		
23	DATED: February 21, 2006 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP		
24	TIEDGES, EEI		
25	By_/s/ David Eiseman		
26	D '1E'		
27	Siliconix incorporated		
28			

Case 3:05-cv-01507-WHA Document 72 Filed 02/22/06 Page 3 of 4

1	DATED: February 21, 2006	KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP
2		
3		By /s/ Nick Saros Nick Saros
4		Attorneys for Defendants DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), Inc.
5	DATED: February 21, 2006	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
6		
7		By /s/ Peter Chen Peter P. Chen
8		Attorneys for Defendants Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and Panasonic Corporation of
9		North America
10	DATED: February 21, 2006	DECHERT LLP
11		
12		By /s/ Chris Scott Graham Chris Scott Graham
13		Attorneys for Defendant Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc.
14		<i>5</i>
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
		-2-

50943/1836368.2

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 22_, 2006



The Honorable William H. Alsup United States District Judge

50943/1836368.2